**Peer feedback for Team Same**

Feedback provided by:  
**Team Russian Blue**

**Project description:**

Project description provides a high level of detail about the features, functionality and limitations of the web application which paints a full picture of what the application will be able to do. However, starting from the first sentence of the description it is not immediately clear what Land’s End to John ‘o Groats journey is and thus brings some confusion at the start. Perhaps a few sentences would clarify that.

At the start of a 2nd paragraph it is not quite clear whether the comparisons are made between different gpx files or against the Le JoG journey (it is unclear whether it is an introduction of a new feature or to whom the application would be useful).

As for the requirements they are clear and concise.

**Personas and scenarios:**

The personas describe a diverse array of possible users from various backgrounds.

Scenario 1: It might not be clear to everyone what a 5k is, especially for someone who does not exercise at all. Based on the project description it is not clear how opening two files simultaneously and compare them will be possible.

Scenario 4: Makes no aim of an activity clear to have key actions extracted.

Overall: The team has shown a good understanding of their application’s potential users, different use cases and a variety of different data people might be interested in.

**Wireframes:**

Wireframes provide a good picture of the potential use case scenarios, with a wide range of examples and storyboards.